<i>t</i> • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/932,825	WINTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin L McHenry	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Kevin L McHenry, examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kajane McManus, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 March 2004	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI The examiner indicated that the application was allowable exce was reached to amend the drawings and specification in order	ept for informalities in the drawings	s and specification. Agreement
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a wri record of the substance of th	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)